

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/771,263	01/26/2001	Gale Arthur Granger	IRVN-005ClP	7988	
24353 75	90 03/09/2004		EXAMINER		
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
200 MIDDLEFT SUITE 200	IELD RD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			1642		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR						
• • •		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
, ,		09/771,263		THOMPSON ET AL.		
Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Christophei		1642		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. bly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statut d will apply and will ute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.	
Status						
1) Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on 03	December 20	<u>03</u> .			
2a)⊠ This acti		is action is no		•		
3)☐ Since thi	s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under				e merits is	
Disposition of Cla	nims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	2-26 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) 16-24 is/are withdra is/are allowed.  2-15,25 and 26 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/	awn from cons				
Application Pape	rs					
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	nent drawing sheet(s) including the corre or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
a)	edgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority document opies of the certified copies of the priority document opies of the certified copies of the priplication from the International Burestached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been iority documer au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
2) D Notice of Draftsp	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail			6)			

Art Unit: 1642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment filed 12/03/2003 is acknowledged and entered into the record.

  Accordingly, claim 1 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 16-24 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 2. Claims 2-15, and 25-26 are examined on the merits.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

#### **Double Patenting**

4. The rejection of claims 2,5,6-7,9,11,13,14, 15, 25, and 26 under the judicially created doctrine of obvious type double patenting is maintained for the reasons of record. It is noted that applicant states that a terminal disclaimer will be filed upon notice of allowable subject matter.

### Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 102

5. The rejection of claims 2-5, 8-12 and 25 under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kohler *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the cited reference fails to teach each and every limitation of the claimed invention, specifically that the claims as now amended recites the limitation of being "formulated for administration into a solid tumor or the bed of a solid tumor." However, the recited limitation fails to distinguish the composition taught by Kohler *et al* from that instantly claimed. Moreover, the intended use of administration into a tumor does not breath any patentable weight into the claimed invention. Furthermore, because the patent office

Art Unit: 1642

does not have the facilities to determine that the composition taught by Kohler *et al* would *not* work if administered into the tumor, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the amount of cell administered and route of administration taught by Kohler *et al* fails to differentiate the composition of the instant invention from that taught by Kohler *et al*.

The applicant further argues that the amendment of the claims to recite lymphocytes from an <u>unrelated donor</u> differentiates the instant invention from that of Kohler *et al.* Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive because Kohler *et al.* teach a "pool" of allo-activated peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) that comprises a haploidentical lymphocyted from a donor. Because the "pool" of PBLs are from 10 unrelated donors and because the exposure of at least two of the unrelated or allogeneic populations of lymphocytes within the pool initiates the transformation of the lymphocytes (i.e. alloactivation), the composition taught by Kohler *et al.* anticipates claims that read on alloactivated lymphocytes from unrelated donors.

# Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 102

6. The rejection of claims 2,8-13, and 25 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Philips *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the use of a pharmaceutical excipient is a required component of the claimed invention and that because Philips *et al* fails to teach the use of a required component, and fails to suggest a use of a required component, the reference fails to anticipate the instant invention.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record. Contrary to what applicant has argued, Philips *et al* does in fact teach the use of a pharmaceutical excipient. The population of cells taught by Philips *et al* were resuspended in a solution of Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS). HBSS has been shown, (as evidenced by Puri RK *et al* Cancer Immunol Immunother. 1989;28(4):267-74.) to be used in vivo as a control solution, thereby qualifying it as a pharmaceutical excipient.

## Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 103

7. The rejection of claims 13 under 35 USC 103(a) as being anticipated by Kohler *et al* in view of Philips *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments are substantially identical to the arguments made for both 102(b) rejections rebutted above.

All other rejections are withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendments and arguments thereto as set forth in a paper filed 12/03/2003.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1642

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 March 2, 2004

> GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER